FILED

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSE 2014 APR 24 PM 2: 13 DIVISION

<u> </u>	TEVE KEITH )	MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TN
<b>v.</b>	of Plaintiff )  ANO IMAGING )  OUP /FAST SIGNS)	Case No. $3:14-c\sqrt{-1053}$ (To be assigned by Clerk) Jury Demand $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
Name	of Defendant(s)	
	COMPLAINT UNDER TITLE VII OF	THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964
1.	Rights Act of 1991, for employment discrupon the Court by 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5,	II of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, and the Civil rimination. Jurisdiction is specifically conferred or, if the Plaintiff is a federal employee, by 42 der 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(g) and/or 42 U.S.C. §
2.	Plaintiff, STEVE KEITH	, is a citizen of the United States and resides at
	4/3 BANKSHIRE RD Street address	BEECHGROVE,
	County, TN State	7202982072. Zip Code Telephone Number
3.	Defendant, BRAND IMAGING GR	resides at, or its business is located at
	5764 CROSSINGS BLOSTeet address	UD, NASHVILLE, City
	<u>OAVIDSON</u> , <u>YN</u> County State	, <u>3 70/3</u> . Zip Code

		<u> </u>				
Plai	intiff sought em	nployment fr	om the Defe	endant or was e	employed by the	e Defendant
5	144 CROS	5611065	BLV	D -	, NAS-b	HILLE
Stre	eet address				City	
Col	intv		ite	, <u>3</u> 701 Zip Code	<b>C</b>	
						agraphs & an
	fendant discrim Complaint on					agraphs 8 an DOI4 Year
Det this Pla or t	fendant discrim Complaint on intiff filed charg he Equal Emplo	inated again or about ges against the	nst Plaintiff i  O 3  Mon  The Defendant  The ortunity Con	n the manner i th twith the Tenn amission charg	ndicated in para /4 Day Day Dessee Human R ging the Defend	ights Comm ant with the a
Det this Pla or t	fendant discrim Complaint on	inated again or about ges against the	nst Plaintiff i  O 3  Mon  The Defendant  The ortunity Con	n the manner i th twith the Tenn amission charg	ndicated in para /4 Day Day Dessee Human R ging the Defend	ights Comm ant with the a
Def this Pla or t disc	Fendant discrim Complaint on intiff filed charg he Equal Emplo crimination in O3	inated again or about ges against the comment Oppodicated in	nst Plaintiff i  O 3  Mon  The Defendant  The ortunity Con  The paragraphs  Th	th  t with the Tenn amission charg 8 and 9 of 20/4 Year	ndicated in para  14  Day  Day  Dessee Human R  This Compla  Compla	ights Commant with the a int on or partment of J
Def this Pla or t disc	Fendant discrims Complaint on intiff filed charge he Equal Employment Month	inated again or about ges against the syment Oppodicated in ment Opport Right to Sue	Mon- me Defendant ortunity Conparagraphs  J 4  Day  tunity Comme which was a	th twith the Tenn amission charg 8 and 9 of 20/4 Year hission or the U received by Pla	ndicated in para  14  Day  Day  Description of this Compla  This Compla  Inited States Department of the complant of the compl	ights Comm ant with the a int on or
Def this Pla or t disc	Fendant discrims Complaint on intiff filed charge he Equal Employment Month	inated again or about ges against the syment Oppodicated in ment Opport Right to Sue	Mon- me Defendant ortunity Conparagraphs  J 4  Day  tunity Comme which was a	th  t with the Tenn amission charg 8 and 9 of 20/4 Year	ndicated in para  14  Day  Day  Description of this Compla  This Compla  Inited States Department of the complant of the compl	ights Commant with the a int on or partment of J

a	failed to employ Plaintiff.
b. <u> </u>	terminated Plaintiff's employment.
c	failed to promote Plaintiff.
	retaliated against Plaintiff for having filed a charge of discrimination
e	other. Explain: SEE ATTACHMENT!  TS LEADING TO MY FERMINATION  to believe it was souly  e of age and an injury!
EVEN	TS LEADING to my termination
led me	to believe it was souly
becaus	e of age and an injury 1
recipi	ed while employed.
SEE	ATTACHMENT
(You may use	e additional paper, if necessary.)
The acts set f	orth in paragraph 8 of this Complaint:
a	are still being committed by Defendant.
b	are no longer being committed by Defendant.
C	may still be being committed by Defendant

11. Plaintiff attaches to this Complaint a copy of the charges filed with the Tennessee Human Rights Commission or the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, which charges are submitted as a brief statement of the facts supporting this Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that the Court grant the following relief:
a. MA direct that Defendant employ Plaintiff, or
b. Ma direct that Defendant re-employ Plaintiff, or
c. M/A direct that Defendant promote Plaintiff, or
d. N/A order other equitable or injunctive relief:
e. W/A direct that Defendant pay Plaintiff back pay in the amount of
and interest on back pay;
f. Struck first that Defendant pay Plaintiff compensatory damages: Specify
the amount and basis for compensatory damages: 150,000 funancial
the amount and basis for compensatory damages: 150,000, financial  Stress, loss of insurances, retirement, buly pood attach
g direct that Defendant pay Plaintiff punitive damages in the amount of
practices with malice or with reckless indifference to Plaintiff's federally protected rights,
as described in paragraphs 8 and 9 above; and that the Court grant such other relief as may
be appropriate, including costs and attorney's fees.
see attach ment)
H. H.
(Signature of Plaintiff)

Discrimination case: Steve Keith vs Brand Imaging Group (BIG)

Age: 61 at time of layoff

Discrimination reason: Age / Injury

Immediate bosses: Chris Neely (manager), Mark Grey (general manager), Scott Snoyer

(owner)

Date hired: 10/29/12, Date of layoff: 3/3/14 (2 week delay), Actual last day worked: 3/14/14

Brand Imaging Group is a collection of Sign businesses who closed due to various reasons. Grouped together as Fast Signs then Brand Imaging Group, Nashville, TN (Scott Snoyer owner)

Business was extremely slow from 12/1/13 due to the quitting of the number #1 salesman, Tony Hatchel a former Sign shop owner. He also took back some very huge contracts when he left. Hurting business at BIG. This forced management to look for solutions. I believe I was part of the re-structuring to meet financial expectations. Also this slow down and said (Tony H.) salesman stealing back his contracts prompted a "No Compete" form to be required by all BIG employee's to sign or be terminated. I turned mine in late but signed it. I originally thought turning this form in late was the reason for dismissal. Two employee's were terminated for not signing it. After my lay off I retrieved the original form I signed. It was signed and back dated by the owner. (Scott Snoyer)(?)

The reason I heard later for my layoff was down sizing in my work area known as production.

On 3-3-16 I was told by Chris Neely I could leave that day and sign up for unemployment. I was assured BIG would sign off on unemployment. Or take 3 weeks to look for a job (while still working at BIG). If no job came up I still had to leave and sign up for unemployment as stated previously. I took 2 weeks and left to sign up for unemployment.

Another issue I feel contributed to the decision to lay me off was a back injury that happened on, 6/3/13. Heavy lifting causing damage. An MRI confirmed lower lumbar damage, steno sis and arthritis were the diagnosis. I was put on limited lifting but continued at 95% capacity of what I worked before. I was afraid that due to age and now injured I would be targeted for layoff during this sever work slow down. A workman's comp case was created.

I feel I was singled out and lay-ed off because of my AGE (61) and I made 15.00 hr, new hires 10.00 hr to 12.00 hr. And the fact I was injured on the job. I feel this is violation of my rights and has caused unfair stresses during a time I was suffering from severe back pain. At my age I'm more dependent on an employer for income, insurances and a retirement fund. I'm a grandfather and this disrupted my lively hood and family relationships.

My background in the Sign Industry is extensive. Since 1986 in one capacity or another I've supported myself with this trade. I'm formally educated in the sign business with an Associates Degree in Signs & Outdoor Advertising from the Rocky Mt. College of Art & Design. (1984/5) 16 yrs as a sole proprietor of Keith Signs here in Nashville, TN. I'm experienced in most area's of the Sign business from hand painting & design to computer aided sign production techniques, sales & installations. At my 90 day review I received 1 year late I scored the highest marks in the categories questioned. I never missed a full days work and was rarely late. I worked in almost all departments and was able to complete any task asked of me. I don't drink or smoke and never was written up.

 ${\rm I}$  do not want my job back. Just justice  ${\rm I}$  worked very hard to be a exemplary employee and  ${\rm I}$  got treated this way.

Steve Keith 720-298-2072

Case 3:14-cy 01053 Document 1 Filed 04/24/14 Page 5 of 5 PageID #: 5